



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

*MAA*

APPLICATION NO. 08/934,948	FILING DATE 09/22/97	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR DELL	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 42390P4925
-------------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------------	-----------------------------------

LM21/1108  
MARIO MCCORMACK SOBRINO  
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN  
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90025

EXAMINER PHAN, R
---------------------

ART UNIT 2781	PAPER NUMBER 9
------------------	-------------------

DATE MAILED: 11/08/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

## Interview Summary

Application No.

08/934,968

Applicant(s)

Bell

Examiner

Raymond N. Phan

Group Art Unit

2781



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Raymond N. Phan

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Ms Maria Sobrino (REG # 31,639)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jul 8, 1999

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

Ward

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Attorney pointed the difference between the Ward and the present application. However Examiner is not deemed to be persuasive. Attorney intend to file appeal to resolve the matters.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.